

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,153	11/30/1999	JAMES WICHELMAN	10001183	8685
22878	7590 06/25/2004		EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			RAYMOND, EDWARD	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.			PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 7599 M/S DL429		2857		
LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/451,153		WICHELMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary			AC.	
Omoc Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	AN	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Edward Raymond	2857	idress	
Period for Reply	appour our the dever alle			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 June 2001.			
•	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,13,15-18,20-22,24,27 and 37 Claim(s) 9,11,12,14,19,23,25,26 and 28 is/38 B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 1999 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the specification and the specification to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request the specificant may not req	drawn from consideration 29 is/are rejected. are objected to. d/or election requirement siner. is/are: a)⊠ accepted or the drawing(s) be held in ab	t. b)⊡ objected to by the Exar peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have to reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	i. I in Application No Deen received in this Nationa	l Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape /08) 5) ☐ Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	rO-152)	
S. Retent and Trademark Office				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/451,153

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10, 13, 15-18, 20-22, 24, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burns et al. Burns et al. teach a system, comprising a plurality of nodes communicating signals pursuant to a single channel plan (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 6, lines 39-56: The Examiner notes that since a cable modem uses the cable television network, it inherently uses a single channel plan), said channel plan having predefined characteristics for each of a plurality of signal channels (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 4, lines 21-36); a spectrum analyzer (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 4, lines 37-41); a switch capable of connecting one of said nodes with said spectrum analyzer (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 9, lines 5-8); and a controller controlling said switch to select said one node (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 7, lines 27-31), said controller capable of testing communication of signals on at least one of said nodes by conducting a test plan (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 6, lines 39-42), said test plan being designed based upon said channel plan, said

Application/Control Number: 09/451,153

Art Unit: 2857

test plan prescribing measurement of at least one signal parameter (Claims 1, 15, 16, and 29: see col. 10, lines 35-46).

Burns et al. teach a system wherein the nodes are part of a television cable network (Claim 2: see col. 6, lines 39-42).

Burns et al. teach a system wherein said test plan prescribes comparison of a signal associated with at least one channel with at least one predefined signal threshold (Claims 3, 13, 17, and 27: see col. 5, lines 11-18).

Burns et al. teach a system wherein said test plan includes comparing a signal parameter with an alarm limit and further comprising the step of communicating an alarm to a graphical user interface when said critical alarm limit is exceeded (Claims 4 and 18: see col. 4, lines 10-21 and also Figure 3: Computer 380).

Burns et al. teach a system wherein said test plan prescribes performing a spectrum scan (Claims 6 and 20: see col. 9, lines 5-8: The Examiner notes that the spectrum analyzer performs a spectrum scan).

Burns et al. teach a system further comprising a graphical user interface and wherein said controller is configured to communicate a result of said test plan to said graphical user interface for displaying said result on a screen (Claims 7 and 21: see Figure 3: Computer 380).

Burns et al. teach a system wherein said controller is configured to perform said testing step periodically over time and to store test results over time (Claims 8 and 22: see col. 8, lines 60-64).

Application/Control Number: 09/451,153

Art Unit: 2857

Burns et al. teach a system wherein said channel plan comprises a center frequency and power level (Claims 10 and 24: see Figure 7).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, 19, 23, 25, 26, and 28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chamberlain et al. teach monitoring system and method implementing automatic testing with a smart scanning algorithm.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Raymond whose telephone number is 571-272-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through alternating Friday between 8:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-2221 for regular communications and 571-272-1562 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/451,153

Art Unit: 2857

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1782.

June 24, 2004

Edward Raymond Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2857